

**From:** HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 02, 2016 10:26 AM  
**To:** PortlandHarbor 1545  
**Subject:** FW: Clean Up Portland Harbor  
**Attachments:** 345621393171421402.pdf

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, July 29, 2016 10:10 AM  
**To:** HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Clean Up Portland Harbor



### Clean Up Portland Harbor

Letter

Dr. Ms. McCarthy, The proposed cleanup of the Portland Harbor is a big win for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's cleanup proposal tackles just 8% of a site area that is 100% toxic. A more aggressive plan is needed to prevent even more harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment and culture, I urge the EPA to implement a plan that: Moves quickly and sustainably reduces contaminants causing harm to Willamette and Columbia River resources. Includes ongoing monitoring and cleanup upriver and downriver from the site. Contributes to healthy fish that are safe to eat for all people. Holds polluters accountable for creating a safer Portland Harbor. These elements get us closer to the plan our communities deserve. And I deserve a clean, safe Portland Harbor. \*Submitted during the comment period between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regarding the EPA's Portland Harbor Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan.

First Name (b) (6)

Last Name (b)

E-mail (b) (6)

Message (500 Character Limit) I moved to St. Johns with my daughter and aging parents to start an educational toy and book store. This beautiful neighborhood is not

the place I want to see toxic muck dumped. How is this not a bigoted decision? Drop the garbage in Portland's poor part of town. They won't pay attention or put up a fuss. Well, the area is changing, and we ARE paying attention. Please relocate your toxic dump site to a place that children and dogs do not play.